

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/643,630	08/21/2000	W. Olin Sibert	7451.0028-00	5386
22852	7590 02/27/2006	i	EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			SHIN, KYUNG H	
LLP 901 NEW Y	ORK AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			2143	
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/643,630	SIBERT, W. OLIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kyung H. Shin	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting (ii) apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 No	ovember 2005.					
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8,10-16 and 18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8,10-16 and 18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responding to application RCE dated 11/16/2005.

2. Claims 1 - 5, 7, 8, 10 - 16, 18 are pending. Claims 1, 7, 10, 11, 18 have been previously amended. Independent claims are 1, 11.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim*** have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
 - 3.1 Applicant argues that the referenced prior art does not disclose
 - (a) "... a level-one page table, the level-one page table including a plurality of level-one page table entries ... ";
 - (b) "... wherein the level-one page table entries each correspond to at least one level-two page table ... ";
 - (c) "... wherein the level-one page table entries each contain a predefined attribute, the predefined attribute being operable to indicate to the memory management unit whether entries in a corresponding level-two page table may designate certain predefined memory regions ... "

 (see Remarks Page 4, Lines 1-9)

The Ginter (6,427,140) and Noel (6,125,430) prior art combination discloses a virtual memory system utilizing paging techniques. In addition, the Ginter (6,427,140) and Noel (6,125,430) prior art combination discloses a level one page table with level one entries and

Application/Control Number: 09/643,630

Art Unit: 2143

level two page table with level two entries, and a level one table entry that designates a level two table memory page (i.e. a predefined memory region). (see Noel col. 3, lines 30-34; col. 12, lines 4-6: virtual memory system; col. 12, lines 30-36: level one page table and level two page table; col. 12, lines 51-55: level one parameter designates a level two paging entry (i.e. memory region))

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1 - 5, 7, 8, 10 - 16, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Ginter et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6, 427,140) in view of Noel et al. (US Patent No. 6,125,430).

Regarding Claim 1, Ginter discloses a secure processing unit (SPU) comprising

- a) an internal memory unit; (see Ginter col. 65, lines 13-15; SPU 500 in this example includes a single microprocessor 520 and a limited amount of memory configured as ROM 532 and RAM 534.)
- b) a processor; (see Ginter col. 65, lines 13-15; same as above (a))
- c) tamper detection and response logic; (see col. 169, lines 32-36; A trusted environment of the present invention implemented, in part, through the use of tamper resistant semiconductor design, contains control logic, such as a microprocessor, that securely executes VDE processes.)

- d) an interface to external systems or components; (see Ginter col. 65, lines 29-34; Additional or alternate dedicated paths 538 may connect microprocessor 520 to the other components (e.g., encrypt/decrypt engine 522 via line 538a, real-time clock 528 via line 538b, bus interface unit 530 via line 538c, DMA controller via line 538d, and memory management unit (MMU) 540 via line 538e).)
- e) one or more buses for connecting the internal memory unit, the processor, the tamper detection and response logic, and the interface to external systems and components; (see Ginter col. 62, lines 8-18; A trusted environment of the present invention implemented, in part, through the use of tamper resistant semiconductor design, contains control logic, such as a microprocessor, that securely executes VDE processes.)
- f) a memory management unit; (see Ginter col. 65, lines 15-20; memory management unit (MMU) 540.)
- h) a plurality of processor security registers; (see Ginter col. 107, lines 25-26; swapped process "context" information (e.g., the register set for the process when it is not processing))
- i) a tamper-resistant housing. (see Ginter col. 169, lines 28-31; within a secure enclosure, such as a tamper resistant metal container or some form of a chip pack containing multiple integrated circuit components)

Ginter does not specifically disclose a memory management system utilizing a level one table and a level two table. However, Noel discloses:

g) a level-one page table, the level-one page table including a plurality of level-one page table entries, wherein the level-one page table entries each correspond to at least one level-two page table, and wherein the level-one page table entries each contain a predefined attribute, the predefined attribute being operable to indicate to the memory management unit whether entries in a corresponding level-two page table may designate certain predefined memory regions; (see Noel col. 3, lines 30-34; col. 12, lines 4-6: virtual memory system; col. 12, lines 30-36: level one page table and level two page table; col. 12, lines 51-55: level one parameter designates a level two paging entry (i.e. memory region))

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ginter to utilize a level one and level two memory management paging techniques as taught by Noel. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ Noel in order to enable efficient and extensible future memory management implementations as inaccessible virtual address are reduced or eliminated. (see Noel col. 3, lines 13-18: "... permit one or more gaps to be present within the range of virtual addresses which may be allocated to the executing process ... easily extensible to future implementations in which any gaps of inaccessible virtual addresses are decreased or eliminated ... ")

Regarding Claim 2, Ginter discloses a secure processing unit as in claim 1, in which the internal memory unit includes;

(a) secure random access memory (RAM); (see Ginter col. 69, lines 26);

- (b) secure non-volatile memory (NVRAM); (see Ginter col. 70, lines 40-44);
- (c) secure read-only memory (ROM) (see Ginter col. 69, lines 25).

Regarding Claim 3, Ginter discloses a secure processing unit as in claim 2, in which the secure non-volatile memory is powered by a battery. (see Ginter col. 70, lines 45-47; NVRAM ("non-volatile RAM") 534b. RAM 534a may be volatile, while NVRAM 534b is preferably battery backed)

Regarding Claim 4, Ginter discloses a secure processing unit as in claim 3, in which the secure non-volatile memory contains at least one cryptographic key. (see Ginter col. 70, lines 54-63; certain highly sensitive information (e.g., certain load modules and certain encryption_key related information such as internally generated private keys) (NVRAM) 534b may be used for securely storing such highly sensitive information)

Regarding Claim 5, Ginter discloses a secure processing unit as in claim 1, in which the internal memory unit includes a unique identifier for the secure processing unit, a private cryptographic key, a public cryptographic key, and a cryptographic certificate linking the unique identifier and the public cryptographic key. (see Ginter col. 120, lines 43-56; The public key (PK) encryption type keys stored by SPU 500 and managed by key and tag manager 558 may include, for example, a device public key, a device

private key, a PK certificate, and a public key for the certificate.) and (see Ginter col. 164, lines 40-43; A key identification number may be placed "in plain view" at the front of the records of secure database 610 so the SPE 503 can determine which key to use the next time the record is retrieved)

Regarding Claim 7, Ginter discloses a secure processing unit as in claim 1, further comprising: access control data, the access control data being operable to indicate whether access to predefined (secure) memory regions is restricted to certain software components or processor modes. (see Ginter col. 77, lines 43-48; Access Control List (ACL) structures, to user and process defined events, including state transitions. ROS 602 may provide fill control information over pre-defined and user-defined application events.)

Regarding Claim 8, Ginter discloses a secure processing unit as in claim 7, in which the access control data are stored in a critical address register, the critical address register comprising one of the processor security registers. (see Ginter col. 121, lines 59-65; Participants that receive appropriate permissions can register their processes (e.g., specific budgets) with summary services manager 560, which may then reserve protected memory space (e.g., within NVRAM 534b) and keep desired use and/or access parameters. Access to and modification of each summary can be controlled by its own access tag.)

Regarding Claim 10, Ginter discloses a secure processing unit as in claim 1, whereby level-two page tables that may not designate the predefined memory regions are not stored in the internal memory unit. (see Ginter col. 69, lines 43-47; Since the external memory may not be secure, SPU 500 may encrypt and cryptographically seal code and other information before storing it in external memory.)

Regarding Claim 11, Ginter discloses an information appliance comprising:

- a) a memory unit; (see Ginter col. 71, lines 7-10)
- b) a secure processing unit (SPU) (see Ginter col. 79, lines 37-41) comprising
 - (1) a tamper resistant packaging, (see Ginter col. 169, lines 28-31);
 - (2) tamper detection and response logic, (see Ginter col. 169, lines 32-36);
 - (3) a secure memory unit, and (see Ginter col. 65, lines 13-15);
 - (4) a processing unit, (see Ginter col. 65, lines 13-15);
 - (5) including a memory management unit (see Ginter col. 65, lines 15-20);
 - (6) a plurality of processor security registers; (see Ginter col. 107, lines 25-26).
- d) a bus for connecting the memory unit and the secure processing unit; (see col. 62, lines 8-18; Bus 653 connects CPU(s) 654 to RAM 656, ROM 658, and I/O controller 660. One or more SPUs 500 may also be connected to system bus 653. System bus 653 may permit SPU(s) 500 to communicate with CPU(s) 654, and also may allow both the CPU(s) and the SPU(s) to communicate (e.g., over shared address and data lines) with RAM 656, ROM 658 and I/O controller 660.)

wherein the secure processing unit is operable to perform *both* secure processing operations and at least some processing operations performed by a conventional information appliance processing unit. (see Ginter col. 80, lines 11-19; Non-secure and secure HPE may operate together with a secure SPE.)

Ginter does not specifically disclose a memory management system utilizing a level one table and a level two table. However, Noel discloses:

c) a level-one page table and a plurality of level-two page tables, the level-one page table including a plurality of level-one page table entries and the level-two page table including a plurality of level-two page table entries, wherein the level-one page table entries each correspond to at least one level-two page table, and wherein the level-one page table entries each contain a predefined attribute, the predefined attribute being operable to indicate to the memory management unit whether a corresponding level-two page table may designate certain predefined (secure) memory regions; (see Noel col. 3, lines 30-34; col. 12, lines 4-6: virtual memory system; col. 12, lines 30-36: level one page table and level two page table; col. 12, lines 51-55: level one parameter designates a level two paging entry (i.e. memory region))

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ginter to utilize a level one and level two memory management paging techniques as taught by Noel. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ Noel in order to enable efficient and extensible future memory management implementations as inaccessible virtual address are reduced or

eliminated. (see Noel col. 3, lines 13-18)

Regarding Claim 12, Ginter discloses an information appliance as in claim 11, in which the information appliance is selected from the group comprising: a television set-top box, a portable audio player, a portable video player, a cellular telephone, a personal computer, and a workstation. (see Ginter col. 61, lines 58-5; and col. 65, lines 3-10; SPU 500 may also be integrated into other peripheral devices, such as CD-ROM devices, set-top cable devices, game devices, and a wide variety of other electronic appliances that use, allow access to, perform transactions related to, or consume, distributed information)

Regarding Claim 13, Ginter discloses an information appliance as in claim 11, in which the secure processing unit is the information appliance's *primary* processing unit. (see Ginter col. 63, lines 23-26; Each VDE node or other electronic appliance 600 in the preferred embodiment may include one or more SPUs 500. SPUs 500 may be used to perform all secure processing for VDE 100.)

Regarding Claim 14, Ginter discloses an information appliance as in claim 11, in which the secure processing unit is the information appliance's *only* processing unit. (see Ginter col. 64, lines 42-46; SPU 500 may be integrated together with one or more other CPU(s) (e.g., a CPU 654 of an electronic appliance) in a single component or package.)

Regarding Claim 15, Ginter discloses an information appliance as in claim 11, in which the secure processing unit includes:

a critical address register, the critical address register containing a plurality of access control bits, the access control bits being operable to indicate whether access to associated (secure) memory regions is restricted to predefined software components or processor (protected) modes. (see Ginter col. 49, lines 40-44; a hardware SPU may employ a host electronic appliance microcomputer operating in protected mode while performing VDE related activities, thus allowing portions of VDE processes to execute with a certain degree of security, and col. 275, lines 9-12; A VDE container is associated with specific access control information and rights that are described in one or more permissions control information sets (PERCs) associated with that container.

Regarding Claim 16, Ginter discloses an information appliance as in claim 15, in which the critical address register comprises one of the processor security registers. (see Ginter col. 179, lines 44-55; REGISTER method 2400 may then retrieve the administrative request from the secure database and determine which response method to run to process the request)

Regarding Claim 18, Ginter discloses an information appliance as in claim 11, in which level-two page tables that may not designate the predefined memory regions are stored in the memory unit, and wherein the level-one page table and the level-two page tables

Application/Control Number: 09/643,630 Page 12

Art Unit: 2143

that may designate the predefined memory regions are stored in the secure memory unit. (see Ginter col. 69, lines 35-50; In these cases, secure processing steps performed by an SPU typically must be segmented into small, securely packaged elements that may be "paged in" and "paged out" of the limited available internal memory space.

Memory external to an SPU 500 may not be secure. Since the external memory may not be secure, SPU 500 may encrypt and cryptographically seal code and other information before storing it in external memory. Similarly, SPU 500 must typically decrypt code and other information obtained from, external memory in encrypted form before processing (e.g., executing) based on it.)

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kyung H. Shin whose telephone number is (571) 272-3920. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 am - 7 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/643,630

Art Unit: 2143

Page 13

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KHS Kyung H Shin Patent Examiner Art Unit 2143

KHS February 14, 2006

> WILLIAM C. VAUGHN, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER